DOI:10.33451/florafauna.v23i2pp445-452

FLORA AND FAUNA

ISSN 2456-9364 (Online)

2017 Vol. 23 No. 2 PP 445-452

ISSN 0971 - 6920 (Print)

Tables : 03

SEASONAL INCIDENCE OF PLUME MOTH, EXELASTIS ATOMOSA (LEPIDOPTERA: PTEROPHORIDAE) ON LONG DURATION PIGEONPEA, CAJANUS CAJAN

RAHUL KUMAR RAWAT, RAM KEVAL*, SABUJ GANGULY AND SNEHEL CHAKRAVARTY

Department of Entomology and Agricultural Zoology, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, VARANASI-221 005 (U.P.) INDIA *Corresponding Author Email: sabujganguly555@gmail.com

Received: 21.07.2017; ; Accepted: 18.09.2017

ABSTRACT

The present research was aimed to study the incidence pattern of plume moth, *Exelastis atomosa* during *Kharif* 2015-16 and 2016-17 for long duration on pigeonpea cv. Bahar. The results revealed that the incidence of pod bug started from the 5th standard week during 2015-16 and from 6th standard week during 2016-17 and it remained active up to 15thstandard week of both the years. The *E. atomosa* population attained its peak level during 11th standard week of both the years i.e., 1.73 larvae/ plant and 1.6 larvae/ plant respectively. Correlation studies indicated that population of *E. atomosa* exhibited a significant positive correlation with maximum and minimum temperatures and wind velocity whereas a significant negative correlation. The regression equation revealed that variations of different weather variables caused approximately 85.3 and 75.9 per cent variations in *E. atomosa* population during both years, respectively.

Figures : 02 References : 24 KEY WORDS : Exelastis atomosa, Incidence, Pigeonpea, Plume moth.

Introduction

Pigeonpea, *Cajanus cajan* Millsp. is a perennial legume belonging to family Fabaceae³. It is the second most important pulse crop grown in India after chickpea¹⁵. Though, India accounts for more than 90 per cent of the world's pigeonpea production and area¹³, the productivity has always been a concern⁸. Among the various reasons attributing to low production and productivity the insect pests continue to be one of the most important constraints to pigeonpea production throughout its distribution^{14,18}. More than 200 species of insect pests are found to be attacking pigeonpea, of which the pod borer complex, feeding on flowers, pods and seeds is of key concern in the pigeonpea growing countries^{4,5}.

The plume moth along with the other

members of the pod borer complex comprising of gram pod borer, Helicoverpa armigera, pod fly Melanagromyza obtusa, legume pod borer, Maruca vitrata, pod sucking bug Clavigralla gibbosa and blue butterfly Lampides boeticus significantly reduces the crop yield to an extent of 60 to 90 percent^{21,24}. Particularly, E. atomosa often assume greater significance and are recognized as the major constraints in productivity¹⁷. The plume moth has been more commonly found on medium to long duration genotypes of pigeonpea causing economic damage and warrants management strategies utilized in those areas9,19. The larva of E. atomosa is green or greenish brown with spines radiating all over the body from tubercles and feeds on flower buds and seeds of pods by remaining outside and small holes are seen in the buds and tender pods. The extent of yield loss induced by

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS : The authors are thankful to the Department of Entomology and Agricultural Zoology, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi (U.P.) for providing the necessary facilities for conducting this research work.

Weather Parameters	E. atomosa populations		
	2015-16	2016-17	
Maximum temperature (ºC)	0.726**	0.626*	
Minimum temperature (°C)	0.669**	0.686**	
Average relative humidity (%)	- 0.691**	- 0.608*	
Rainfall (mm)	- 0.188 ns	- 0.462 ns	
Sunshine (hours)	0.376 ns	0.212 ns	
Wind velocity (km/hr)	0.676**	0.645**	

TABLE-1: Correlation between weather parameters and E. atomosa population during Kharif 20)15-17.
--	---------

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (Two-tailed), ** Correlation is significant at 0.01 level (Two-tailed), ns = non significant

Multiple regression	Temperature (ºC)		Average Relative	Rainfall	Sunshine	Wind Velocity
	Maximum (X ₁)	Minimum (X ₂)	humidity (%) (X ₃)	(mm) (X ₄)	hours (X ₅)	(km/hr) (X ₆)
Coefficient	0.074	0.138	0.091	-0.056	0.618	0.156
Standard Error	0.168	0.166	0.038	0.015	0.249	0.151
T value	0.437	0.830	2.380	-3.838	2.485	1.030
F value	7.742					
R ²	0.853					1

 $\mathbf{Y}_1 = E. atomosa$ population (2015-16), $\mathbf{X}_1 =$ Maximum temperature (°C), $\mathbf{X}_2 =$ Minimum temperature (°C), $\mathbf{X}_3 =$ Average relative humidity (%), $\mathbf{X}_4 =$ Rainfall (mm), $\mathbf{X}_5 =$ Sunshine (hours), $\mathbf{X}_6 =$ Wind velocity (km/hr)



Fig. 1: *E. atomosa* population fluctuation in relation to meteorological parameters during 2015-16

RAHUL KUMAR RAWAT, RAM KEVAL*, SABUJ GANGULY AND SNEHEL CHAKRAVARTY

plume moth has been found to be ranging from 5%-10% in pigeonpea²¹. It has been reported to be an important pest in Varanasi region of Uttar Pradesh along with the other three lepidopteran pigeonpea pod borers i.e. *H. armigera, L. boeticus* and *Pammene critica*²².

The main reasons for its prevalence in this region can be attributed to the indiscriminate use of insecticides, monocropping, introduction of early maturing pigeonpea cultivars and presence of favourable temperature and humidity conditions during reproductive stage of the crop. So, an appropriate management strategy has to be prepared to combat against this pest. Moreover, for development of successful pest management strategies, detailed information on the population build up, in particular the influence of weather factors on the population dynamics is of great significance¹². Hence, an attempt has been made to investigate the effect of abiotic factors on the population buildup of *E. atomosa* on long duration pigeonpea in Varanasi region of India.

Materials and Methods

To study the seasonal incidence of E. atomosa on pigeonpea, field experiments were conducted at Agriculture Research Farm, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi during Kharif 2015-16 and 2016-17. Long duration pigeonpea cultivar Bahar was used for the study and the crop field was kept free from pesticide sprays. The population of E. atomosa was recorded on five randomly selected plants from three middle rows of the plot at weekly intervals starting from 50 per cent flowering stage of the crop for studying the incidence pattern of the pest. The influence of weather parameters on population of E. atomosa was also worked out. For this, the data was subjected to correlation and regression analysis with weather parameters viz., rainfall, maximum and minimum temperatures, average relative humidity, sunshine hours and wind velocity in respect of the corresponding standard week. The meteorological data for the above analysis were obtained from the meteorological observatory of the university. The significance of simple correlation was estimated by using *t*-test²⁰ and the regression equations were derived by using the formula¹⁶.

Results and Discussion

The results obtained from the present study along with the relevant discussions have been

provided under the following heads:

Incidence pattern of plume moth, E. atomosa

During 2015-16, the first incidence of E. atomosa was recorded in 5th standard week. The population persisted in the field from 5th to 15th standard week. The population of plume moth attained its peak level during 11th standard week (1.73 larvae/ plant) followed by 9th standard week (1.67 larvae/ plant). The lowest mean population of plume moth was recorded on 5th standard week (0.06 larvae/ plant) (Fig. 1). Similarly, during 2016-17 the first incidence of *E. atomosa* was observed in 6th standard week. The population persisted in the field from 6th to 15th standard week. The population of plume moth attained its peak level during 11th standard week (1.6 larvae/ plant) followed by 10th standard week (1.53 larvae/ plant). The lowest mean population of plume moth was recorded on 6th standard week (0.26 larvae/ plant) (Fig. 2).

The present results are in accordance with the findings⁷ who claimed that the larval population of plume moth was highest in 12th standard week (0.13 larvae/plant) followed by 11th standard week (0.11 larvae/plant) while there was no infestation during 4th to 8th standard weeks in 2007-08. Workers¹⁷ also reported that the first incidence of plume moth was observed in 4th and 5th standard week in all genotypes and the peak of population of *E. atomosa* was recorded from 11th to 12th standard week. E. atomosa has been found to be most active from the 2nd week of November to 2nd week of February with peak during last week of December and thus these findings partially supports the findings of the present investigation¹. Similar trends were also observed for other lepidopteran borers on pigeonpea^{6,10}.

Influence of weather parameters on population buildup of plume moth, *E. atomosa*

Simple correlation was worked out between the meteorological parameters and *E. atomosa* population in order to ascertain the influence of different abiotic factors on the population buildup of this insect pest. The analytical data on correlation coefficient during 2015-16 indicated that population of *E. atomosa* exhibited a highly significant positive correlation with maximum temperature ($r = 0.726^{**}$), minimum temperature ($r = 0.669^{**}$) and wind velocity ($r = 0.676^{**}$) whereas a highly significant negative relationship was found with average relative humidity ($r = -0.691^{**}$). The other abiotic factors did

135

y)	
,	•

TABLE 3: Multiple regressions of *E. atomosa* population with weather parameters during *Kharif* 2016-17.

Maximum (X ₁) Minimum (X ₂) humidity (%) (X ₃) (mm) (X ₄) hours (X ₅) (km/hr (X ₆) Coefficient 0.005 0.046 0.009 -0.050 0.004 0.153 tandard Error 0.104 0.097 0.019 0.025 0.120 0.085 T value 0.047 0.475 0.490 -2.034 0.031 1.795 F value 4.205 Image: Constraint of the state of	Multiple regression	Temperature (°C)		Average	Deinfell		Wind
Standard Error 0.104 0.097 0.019 0.025 0.120 0.085 T value 0.047 0.475 0.490 -2.034 0.031 1.795 F value 4.205 $IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII$				humidity (%)	(mm)	hours	Velocity (km/hr) (X ₆)
T value 0.047 0.475 0.490 -2.034 0.031 1.795 F value 4.205 0.475 0.490 -2.034 0.031 1.795 R ² 0.759 0.759 0.009 (X ₃) = 0.050 (X ₄) + 0.004 (X ₅) + 0.153 (X ₆) 0.000 (X ₃) = 0.050 (X ₄) + 0.004 (X ₅) + 0.153 (X ₆) = E. atomosa population (2016-17), X ₁ = Maximum temperature (°C), X ₂ = Minimum temperature (°C), X ₃ = Average relative humidity	Coefficient	0.005	0.046	0.009	-0.050	0.004	0.153
F value 4.205 \mathbf{R}^2 0.759 Regression equation $Y_2 = -1.822 + 0.005 (X_1) + 0.046 (X_2) + 0.009 (X_3) - 0.050 (X_4) + 0.004 (X_5) + 0.153 (X_6)$ $P = E.$ atomosa population (2016-17), $X_1 =$ Maximum temperature (°C), $X_2 =$ Minimum temperature (°C), $X_3 =$ Average relative humidity	Standard Error	0.104	0.097	0.019	0.025	0.120	0.085
R^2 0.759 Regression equation $Y_2 = -1.822 + 0.005 (X_1) + 0.046 (X_2) + 0.009 (X_3) - 0.050 (X_4) + 0.004 (X_5) + 0.153 (X_6)$ $P = E.$ atomosa population (2016-17), $X_1 =$ Maximum temperature (°C), $X_2 =$ Minimum temperature (°C), $X_3 =$ Average relative humidity	T value	0.047	0.475	0.490	-2.034	0.031	1.795
Regression equation $Y_2 = -1.822 + 0.005 (X_1) + 0.046 (X_2) + 0.009 (X_3) - 0.050 (X_4) + 0.004 (X_5) + 0.153 (X_6)$ $y_2 = E. atomosa population (2016-17), X_1 = Maximum temperature (°C), X_2 = Minimum temperature (°C), X_3 = Average relative humidity$	F value	4.205					
$h_{1} = E$. atomosa population (2016-17), X_{1} = Maximum temperature (°C), X_{2} = Minimum temperature (°C), X_{3} = Average relative humidity	R ²	0.759					
b), $X_4 = \text{Rainfall (mm)}$, $X_5 = \text{Sunshine (hours)}$, $X_6 = \text{Wind velocity (km/hr)}$. = <i>E. atomosa</i> popu	 lation (2016-17), X	1= Maximum temp	perature (ºC), X ₂ = Minir			lative humidity



Fig. 2: E. atomosa population fluctuation in relation to meteorological parameters during 2016-17

not show any significant impact on incidence of the pest (Table-1). Similarly during 2016-17, the results showed that there was a positive significant association of the pest population with maximum temperature ($r = 0.626^*$) and highly positive association with minimum temperature ($r = 0.686^{**}$) and wind velocity ($r = 0.645^{**}$) while a significant negative relationship was exhibited with average relative humidity ($r = -0.608^*$). Correlation coefficient with other abiotic factors was found to be non-significant (Table-1).

The regression coefficient revealed that the various abiotic factors were found to be most influencing factor, which contributed (R²= 0.853 and 0.759) 85.3 and 75.9 per cent variation in E. atomosa population during both the years, respectively. The regression equation was fitted to study the effectiveness of weather parameters of 2015-16 and indicated that for increase in every 1°C of maximum and minimum temperature, one per cent of relative humidity, one hour of sunshine and one km/hr of wind velocity there would be an increase of 0.074, 0.138, 0.091, 0.618 and 0.156 numbers of E. atomosa population, while for every 1 mm increase in rainfall there would be a decrease of 0.056 numbers of *E. atomosa* population (Table-2). Similarly during 2016-17, for increase in every 1°C of maximum and minimum temperature, one per cent of relative humidity, one hour of sunshine and one km/hr of wind velocity there would be an increase of 0.005, 0.046, 0.009, 0.004 and 0.153 numbers of *E. atomosa* population, while for every 1 mm increase in rainfall there would be a decrease of 0.050 numbers of E. atomosa population (Table-3).

The present findings are in accordance with the findings², which reported that the pupal counts of *E. atomosa* were significantly correlated with

maximum temperature. Moreover, Others¹¹ also found that the maximum, minimum and average temperatures, minimum relative humidity, water evaporation and sunshine hours had positive effects on the population build-up of the plume moth, while the rainfall, wind velocity, and maximum and average relative humidity showed negative effects. These findings strongly support the results of the present study. On the other hand the population of E. atomosa in pigeonpea showed a negative correlation with maximum and minimum temperature and positive correlation with morning relative humidity at Akola¹. In an another attempt, Workers²³ noticed that the pod borers, *C. ptychora*, E. atomosa, L. boeticus and M. obtusa in pigeonpea showed negative correlation with temperature, relative humidity, rainfall and wind speed except in few cases; however, a positive correlation was established sunshine hours. These results partially support the present findings.

From the present study it can be concluded that *E. atomosa* is emerging as an important insect pest of pigeonpea in Varanasi region during reproductive stage of pigeonpea crop and its activity increased with increasing maximum and minimum temperature, relative humidity, sunshine and wind velocity and decreased with increase in amount of rainfall. From the present findings, it can also be inferred that there was only single peak without any multiple peaks of E. atomosa on pigeonpea. Hence the farmers can be alerted during the months of February and March to take up appropriate management strategies for efficient management of plume moth on long duration pigeonpea. Such studies on population build up of insect pests and their relationship with weather parameters provide a clue to improve the IPM strategy against insect pests' infestation and also help in making timely prediction of the occurrence of the pest.

References

- 1. BHOYAR, A.S., SIDHABHATTI, P.M., WADSKAR, R.M. AND KHAN, M.I. (2004) Studies on seasonal incidence and biointensive management of pigeonpea pod borer complex. *Pestology* **18** (9): 32-37.
- 2. DESHMUKH, A.Y., KHAN, M.I. AND KHANDE, D.M. (2004) Correlation of incidence of pigeonpea pod borers with weather parameters. *Pest Management and Economic Zoology* **12** (2): 217-220.
- 3. FULLER, D.Q. AND HARVEY, E.L. (2006) The archaeobotany of Indian pulses: identification, processing and evidence for cultivation. *Environmental Archaeology* **11** (2): 219-246.
- GNANESH, B.N., BOHRA, A., SHARMA, M., BYREGOWDA, M., PANDEY, S., WESLEY, V. (2011) Genetic mapping and quantitative trait locus analysis of resistance to sterility mosaic disease in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.] *Field Crop Research* 123 : 53-61.

RAHUL KUMAR RAWAT, RAM KEVAL*, SABUJ GANGULY AND SNEHEL CHAKRAVARTY

- 5. GROVER, A. AND PENTAL, D. (2003) Breeding objectives and requirements for producing transgenics for major field crops of India. *Current Science* **84** : 310-320.
- 6. JAISAL, J.K., SRIVASTAVA, C.P. AND SHARMA, R.P. (2010) Resistance in long duration pigeonpea against major insect pests. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences* **18** (2): 501-502.
- KEVAL, R., KERKETTA, D., RAI, S.N., AND SINGH, P.S. (2011) Population of plume moth, *Exelastis atomosa* (Walsingham) on some varieties of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.). *Environment and Ecology* 29 (1A): 269-270.
- KHAMORIYA, J., KEVAL, R., CHAKRAVARTY, S. AND MISHRA, V.K. (2017) Evaluation of sequential application of insecticides against major insect pests on long duration pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 5 (3): 1891-1894.
- 9. KUMAR, A. AND NATH, P. (2003) Pest complex and their population dynamics on medium-late variety of pigeonpea, Bahar Indian. *Journal of Pulses Research* **16** (2): 150-154.
- 10. KUMAR, A. AND NATH, P. (2004) Effect of weather parameters on population buildup of pigeonpea pod borers. *Indian Journal of Entomology* **66** (4): 293.
- 11. KUMAR, A., AND NATH, P. (2005) Study of effect of meteorological factors on the population of insect pests infesting UPAS 120 cultivar of pigeonpea. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities* (*India*) **30** (2): 190-192.
- KUMAR, R., KEVAL, R. AND MISHRA, V.K. (2017) Population dynamics of *Exelastis atomosa* (Walsingham) on pigeonpea genotypes in agro-ecosystem. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 6 (4): 2629-2634.
- 13. MATHUKIA, R.K., PONKIA, H.P. AND POLARA, A.M. (2016) In situ conservation and zinc fertilization for rainfed pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). *The Bioscan* **11** (1): 247-250.
- 14. MISHRA, M.K., SINGH, R.P. AND ALI, S. (2012) Chemical control and avoidable yield losses of pigeonpea due to insect pests. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences* **20** : 306-309.
- 15. PANDEY, V. AND SRIVASTAVA, C.P. (2012) Mechanism of resistance in long duration pigeonpea against pod fly (*Melanagromyza obtusa*). *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences* **20** : 290-293
- 16. PANSE, V.G. AND SUKHATME, P.V. (1985) Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR publications, New Delhi, India.
- 17. RANGAIAH, P.V. AND SEHGAL, V.K. (1984) EstImation of crop losses in pigeon pea due to Insect pests associated with in various stages of its growth. *Indian Journal of Plant Breeding* **12** : 127 130.
- 18. RANGARAO, G. (2009) Promotion of an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Programme for Pigeonpea in India and East Africa. *Final Technical Report.* pp. 1-13
- 19. RAUT, S.B., NAWALE, R.N., AND MOTE, U.N. (1993) Relative susceptibility of pigeonpea germplasm to plume moth, *Exelastis atomosa* (Walsingham). *Indian Journal of Entomology* **55**: 132-135.
- SAXENA, K. AND UJAGIR, R. (2007) Effect of temperature and relative humidity on pod borer in pigeonpea. *Journal of Food Legumes* 20 :121-123.
- 21. SHARMA, O.P., GOPALI, J.B., YELSHETTY, S., BAMBAWALE, O.M., GARG, D.K. AND BHOSLE, B.B. (2010) Pests of pigeonpea and their management. *NCIPM*, LBS Building, IARI, New Delhi, India.
- 22. SINGH, R.S., NATH, P. AND KUMAR, A. (2012) Sustainable approaches for management of *Exelastis* atomosa in *Pigeonpea. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences* **20** (1): 213-214.
- 23. SUBHARANI, S. AND SINGH, T.K. (2009) Population dynamics of pod borer complex in pigeonpea in relation to abiotic factors. *Indian Journal of Entomology* **71** (3) : 215-218.
- 24. SUJITHRA, M. AND CHANDER, S. (2014) Seasonal incidence and damage of major insect pests of pigeonpea, *Cajanus cajan* (L.) Indian. *Journal of Entomology* **76**: 202-206.

139

452